UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,979	04/28/2005	Satoshi Hatori	271399US3PCT	2957	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			ROTH, LAURA K		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2852		
•					
•			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/28/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		2	_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/532,979	HATORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laura K. Roth	2852				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J	l <u>uly 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24,26-30 and 33-52</u> is/are pending	4) Claim(s) <u>1-24,26-30 and 33-52</u> is/are pending in the application.					
, = , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-23,26,28-30 and 33-52</u> is/are r)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-23,26,28-30 and 33-52</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24 and 27</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er. ,					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documen 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11 July 2007 has been entered.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 4, 28 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 28 is dependent upon claim 25, which has been cancelled. For examination on the merits, claim 28 will be treated as though it depends on claim 23. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Office is unable to ascertain the full metes and bounds of the invention. Claim 1 recites a process cartridge comprising a developing unit and claims 14-17 recite a developing unit is configured to use uses a toner. While

Art Unit: 2852

the claims recite that the developing unit is configured to use a toner with particular

characteristics, no structure is defined which makes the developing unit particularly configured to use the recited toner over any other possible toner with similar or different

characteristics.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 18, 21, 33-38, 41-43, 48 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Asano et al. (US 5,289,234).

Regarding claim 1, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge (fig.9-11) comprising: a frame body (fig.9&10, #10) made up of at least first (fig.9-11, #101) and second (fig.9-11, #102) frame bodies that are movable relative to each other (compare fig.9 & 11) to form a space (fig.9&10) in an open state (fig.9) and to close the space in a closed state (fig.11); a frame body positioning member positioning the first and second frame bodies (fig.9, #103); a latent image bearing member (fig.11, #1), supported by the frame body (fig.10, #13/131), and replaceable via the space formed by the first and second frame bodies (see fig. 9 & 10); a developing unit (fig.9, #3) supplying a developing agent to the latent image bearing member, the developing unit configured to be replaceable in the closed state via a space different than the space

Art Unit: 2852

formed by the first and second frame bodies (col.3, ln.63-64; fig.2: the cartridge, and thus the developing unit, is removably or replaceably installed in the image forming apparatus through some space in the image forming apparatus) and configured to be replaceable in a state where the latent image bearing member is supported by the frame body (col.3, ln.63-64; fig.2: the cartridge, and thus the developing unit, is removably or replaceably installed in the image forming apparatus through some space in the image forming apparatus while the image bearing member, likewise, the cartridge an developing unit would be replaceably installed with the image bearing member still supported by the frame body since the cartridge is removed as one complete unit); and a developing position determining member (fig.8, #141 engages with holes in ends of developer roller, see col.8, ln.46-50), disposed at a non-overlapping position relative to the frame body positioning member (fig.8, #10b-10d does not overlap #103), and positioning the developing unit with respect to the frame body (see col.8, ln.46-50).

Regarding claim 3, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge further comprising: a cleaning unit (fig.9, #5) cleaning residual toner on the latent image bearing member; and a cleaning position determining member (fig.8&9, #103), disposed at a non-overlapping position relative to the frame body position determining member and the developing position determining member (see fig.8, #103 does not overlap #141/14), and positioning the cleaning unit with respect to the frame body (fig.9, #103 positions #5 with respect to #102).

Regarding claim 6, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge further comprising: a charging unit (fig.11&15, #2) uniformly charging the latent image bearing

member, said charging unit being positioned (fig.15, positioned with relation to body via #242/232) with respect to the frame body at an non-overlapping position relative to the frame body position determining member, the developing position determining member and the cleaning position determining member (fig.15, #242 does not overlap location where cleaning member is joined which is the same as frame body position member and does not overlap axis of the developing roller).

Regarding claim 7, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge wherein at least one of the latent image bearing member, the cleaning unit, the charging unit and the developing unit is replaced after removing the process cartridge from a main body of an image forming apparatus (fig.9-10, #1 capable of being attached/detached; col.8, In.62-col.9, In.1: drum is inserted, cartridge is closed, then put into printer, thus, it would be removed to be replaced).

Regarding claim 18, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge further comprising: an accommodating part accommodating the toner or a newly supplied toner (fig.2, right hand part of #3).

Regarding claim 21, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach an image forming apparatus (fig.2) for visualizing a latent image formed on a latent image bearing member into a toner image, comprising: at least one detachable process cartridge (fig.2, #1-3, #5-6) according to claim 1 (see rejection of claim 1), at least one of the latent image bearing member and the developing unit being replaceable with respect to the process cartridge (fig.9-11, #1 can be attached, detached and is therefore replacable).

Art Unit: 2852

Regarding claim 31, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge (fig.2, #1-3, and 5-6) configured to be detachable with respect to an image forming apparatus (col.3, In.63-64), comprising: a latent image bearing member (fig.11, #1); and at least three process units provided integrally with the latent image bearing member (fig.9, #2, #3 and #5), two of the at least three process units are movable relative to each other (fig.9, #3 and #5) to form a space in an open state (fig.9) and to close the space in a closed state (fig.11), each of the latent image bearing member and the process units being independently replaceable (see fig.8, all come apart), the latent image bearing member is replaceable via the space formed by the two process units (see fig.9), and one of the at least three process units is replaceable in the closed state via a space different than the space formed by the two process units (fig.14 and 15).

Regarding claim 32, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge wherein the three process units include a cleaning unit (fig.9, #5), a developing unit (fig.9, #3) and a charging unit (fig.9, #2).

Regarding claim 33, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge (fig.2, #1-3, and 5-6) configured to be detachable with respect to an image forming apparatus (col.3, ln.63-64), comprising: a frame body (fig.11, #102/101) made up of at least first and second frame bodies (fig.9-11, #101/102) that are movable relative to each other to form a space in an open state (fig.9-10) and to close the space in a closed state (fig.11); a latent image bearing member supported by the frame body (fig.11, #1); and at least one process unit provided integrally with the latent image bearing member and supported by the frame body (fig.11, #2), the at least one process unit configured to be

replaceable in the closed state via a space different than the space formed by the first and second frame bodies (fig. 14-15 depict a closed state & #2 is replaced via a different space) and configured to be replaceable in a state where the latent image bearing member is supported by the frame body (fig.14-15 depict a state in which #2 is replaced while #1 is supported by the frame body), the latent image bearing member (fig.9 and 10) and the at least one process unit (fig.14 and 15) being independently replaceable.

Note: the abstract of Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) states "At least one of the photosensitive member and the charging brush is detachable..." Thus, while the examples in Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) only show two distinct embodiments with only one replaceable part, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) allows for both the be replaceable in the same imaging cartridge.

Regarding claim 34, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge wherein the latent image bearing member and the at least one process unit are replaceable without requiring other process units to be removed (see fig.9-10 and fig.14-15, respectively).

Regarding claim 35, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge wherein the latent image bearing member and the at least one process unit is replaced after removing the process cartridge from the image forming apparatus (col.8, ln.62col.9, In.1: drum is inserted, cartridge is closed, then put into printer, thus, it would be removed; col.col.10, ln.6-ln.27; brush is installed on cartridge, then cartridge is inserted into printer, thus, it would be removed).

Art Unit: 2852

Regarding claim 36, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge wherein the latent image bearing member is removable from the frame body without requiring the at least one process unit to be removed from the frame body (see fig.9, #2 still in place).

Regarding claim 37, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge comprising: a cleaning unit forming one process unit (fig.9, #5), wherein the latent image bearing member is removed from the frame body after rotating the cleaning unit (see fig.9-11).

Regarding claim 38, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge further comprising: a cleaning position determining member positioning the cleaning unit with respect to the frame body (fig.9, hinge point #103).

Regarding claim 41, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge further comprising: a charging unit forming one process unit (fig.15, #2), wherein said frame body includes a recess that receives the charging unit (fig.14 & 15, #241 in #100).

Regarding claim 42, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge further comprising: a developing unit (fig.8, #31); and a developing positioning member positioning the developing unit with respect to the frame body (fig.8, #141 engages with holes in ends of developer roller, see col.8, In.46-50).

Regarding claim 43, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge wherein said developing position determining member positions a developing reference shaft of the developing unit with respect to a hole in the frame body forming a bearing

Art Unit: 2852

(fig.8, projection #141 positions the reference shaft or axis of roller #31 with respect to holes #10c and bears #31).

Regarding claim 48, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge further comprising: an accommodating part accommodating the toner or a newly supplied toner (fig.2, right hand side of #3).

Regarding claim 51, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach an image forming apparatus for visualizing a latent image formed on a latent image bearing member into a toner image (fig.2), comprising: at least one detachable process cartridge (fig.2, #1-3, 5-6) according to claim 33 (see rejection of claim 33), at least one of the latent image bearing member, the developing unit and the cleaning unit being replaceable with respect to the process cartridge (fig.9-11, #1 can be attached, detached and is therefore replaceable).

Note: the abstract of Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) states "At least one of the photosensitive member and the charging brush is detachable..." Thus, while the examples in Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) only show two distinct embodiments with only one replaceable part, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) allows for both the be replaceable in the same imaging cartridge.

Claims 23, 26, and 28-30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saito et al. (US Pub. 2003/0091366).

Regarding claim 23, Saito et al. (US Pub. 2003/0091366) teach a process cartridge (fig.10) comprising: a frame body (fig.10, #60) made up of at least a first frame

Art Unit: 2852

body (fig.10, #60a) and a second flame body (fig.10, #60d) that are movable relative to each other to form a space (see fig.10 vs. fig.11); a latent image bearing member supported by the flame body (fig.10, #160) and replaceable via the space formed by the first and second frame bodies (see fig. 12); a developing unit supplying a toner to the latent image bearing member (fig.10, #200 & #190) and configured to be replaceable from the process cartridge (see fig. 31); a developing position determining member positioning the developing unit with respect to the frame body (fig.10, the bottom and left wall of A,B); a cleaning unit cleaning the toner on the latent image bearing member (fig.10, #220) and configured to be replaceable from the process cartridge (see fig.14); a cleaning position determining member (fig.16, #60a-4), disposed at a non-overlapping position relative to the developing position determining member (fig.16, #60a-3 and #60a-4 do not overlap side & bottom walls of A in a vertical direction), positioning the cleaning unit with respect to the frame body; and a frame body positioning member (fig. 10, #60a-2, #60d-4, and #60c), disposed at a non-overlapping position relative to the developing position determining member (see relations in a vertical direction), and positioning the first and second frame bodies, each of the developing unit and the cleaning unit is further configured to be positioned by only one of the first frame body or the second frame body (fig.10, #200/190 positioned in #60d & A, #220 positioned in #60a).

Regarding claim 26, Saito et al. (US Pub. 2003/0091366) teach a process cartridge wherein said cleaning unit is replaceable by removing the cleaning position determining member after the first and second frame bodies are moved relative to each

Art Unit: 2852

other to form the space (fig.16, after #220 removed from #60a, #60a-4 is removed from slots #230d).

Regarding claim 28, Saito et al. (US Pub. 2003/0091366) teach a process cartridge further comprising: a charging unit uniformly charging the latent image bearing member (fig.10, #170), said charging unit being positioned with respect to the frame body at an non-overlapping position relative to the frame body position determining member, the developing position determining member and the cleaning position determining member (fig.18, #170 positioned via central shaft, which does not vertically overlap #60a-2, left wall of A, nor cleaning positioning member located above the marking #60a).

Regarding claim 29, Saito et al. (US Pub. 2003/0091366) teach an image forming apparatus (fig.2) for visualizing a latent image formed on a latent image bearing member into a toner image, comprising: at least one detachable process cartridge according to claim 23 (see fig.10), at least one of the latent image bearing member, the developing unit and the cleaning unit being replaceable with respect to the process cartridge (all three are replaceable, see fig.12, fig.14, and fig.31).

Regarding claim 30, Saito et al. (US Pub. 2003/0091366) teach an image forming apparatus according to claim 29, further comprising: an accommodating part accommodating a supplied toner (fig.10, portion which also houses #700C and #1200C, houses supplied toner – col.12, ln.62+).

Art Unit: 2852

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) in view of Noda et al. (US Pub. 2002/0122677).

Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach all of the limitations of claims 1 and 23, upon which claim 2 depends; additionally, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach a process cartridge wherein said developing unit comprises a developing agent bearing member transporting the developing agent (fig.8, #31) and said developing position determining member comprises a positioning member positioning the latent image bearing member and the developing agent bearing member (fig.8, #14 supports #31 via #141 and also supports #1 via #142, positioning #31 and #1 together).

However, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) fail to teach a magnet group inside the developing roller or an angular positioning member.

Regarding claim 2, Noda et al. (US Pub. 2002/0122677) teach a developing unit with a developing agent bearing member (fig.17, #122) and a magnet group provided inside the developing agent bearing member (fig.17, #125a,c,d,e), and having a predetermined main pole direction (fig.18A: main pole direction is to the left in the figure), and an angular positioning member (fig.17, #140) determining the main pole

Art Unit: 2852

direction of the magnet group with respect to the latent image bearing member (fig.18A&B: relative positions determined by the angular positioning member).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the developing roller of Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) with a magnetic grouping, pole and angular positioning member as in Noda et al. (US Pub. 2002/0122677) to accurately restrict the thickness of a toner layer on the developer delivering member without imparting undue stresses to the developer (para.0029) by restricting it without contact (para.0269, In.16-17) and by preventing it from being delivered during non-development (para.0293).

Claims 8, 9, 44, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) in view of Ebata et al. (US 5,023,660).

Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach all of the limitations of claims 1 and 33 upon which claims 8, 9, 44, and 45 depend.

However, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) fail to teach receiving a main body driving shaft or a hole in the cartridge wall to receive said shaft.

Regarding claim 8, Ebata et al. (US 5,023,660) teach a process cartridge (fig.2, #3) with a latent image bearing member (fig.2, #1), wherein the latent image bearing member is inserted with a driving shaft (fig.1-2, #4) provided in a main body of an image forming apparatus (col.3, ln.54-55).

Art Unit: 2852

Regarding claim 9, Ebata et al. (US 5,023,660) teach a process cartridge (fig.2, #3) with a frame body (fig.2, #3) and with a latent image bearing member (fig.2, #1), wherein the frame body has a hole part for receiving the driving shaft (fig.1, #3a).

Regarding claim 44, Ebata et al. (US 5,023,660) teach a process cartridge (fig.2, #3) with a latent image bearing member (fig.2, #1), wherein said latent image bearing member receives a driving shaft of the image forming apparatus when the process cartridge is loaded into the image forming apparatus (col.4, ln.20-25).

Regarding claim 45, Ebata et al. (US 5,023,660) teach a process cartridge (fig.2, #3) with a frame body (fig.2, #3) and with a latent image bearing member (fig.2, #1), wherein said frame body includes a hole forming a bearing (fig.1, #3a) and receiving the driving shaft of the image forming apparatus (fig.2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify any of the primary references with the inserted image forming apparatus main body driving shaft and cartridge wall hole of Ebata et al. (US 5,023,660) to provide a drive transmission that allows the drive mechanism and grounding contacts to be provided inside the drum and cartridge to allow the cartridge parts to be better protected when handling the cartridge (col.2, ln.30-38).

Claims 10-12 and 46-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) in view of Kinoshita et al. (US 5,404,203).

Art Unit: 2852

Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach all of the limitations of claims 1 and 33 upon which claims 10-12 and 46-47 depend.

However, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) fail to teach the use of a discharge unit and a detection unit.

Regarding claim 10, Kinoshita et al. (US 5,404,203) teach an image forming apparatus comprising a discharge unit (fig.4, #55) and a detection unit (fig.4, #44, #212, #210, and #213 not shown; col.5, ln.47-55).

Regarding claim 11, Kinoshita et al. (US 5,404,203) teach an image forming apparatus wherein said discharge unit comprises an electroluminescence lamp (col.6, ln.58+: lamp 55 emits light).

Regarding claim 12, Kinoshita et al. (US 5,404,203) teach an image forming apparatus wherein said detection unit comprises a potential sensor detecting a potential of the latent image bearing member, a toner density sensor detecting an amount of toner on the latent image bearing member, and a temperature and humidity sensor detecting a temperature and a humidity within the vicinity of the image bearing member (col.5, ln.47-55).

Regarding claim 46, Kinoshita et al. (US 5,404,203) teach an image forming apparatus further comprising: a discharge unit (fig.4, #55); and a detection unit (fig.4, #44, #212, #210, and #213 not shown; col.5, ln.47-55).

Regarding claim 47, Kinoshita et al. (US 5,404,203) teach an image forming apparatus wherein said detection unit comprises a potential sensor detecting a potential of the latent image bearing member, a toner density sensor detecting an amount of

Art Unit: 2852

toner on the latent image bearing member, and a temperature and humidity sensor detecting a temperature and a humidity within the vicinity of the image bearing member (col.5, ln.47-55).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify any of the primary references by adding a discharge unit and a detection unit as proposed by Kinoshita et al. (US 5,404,203) to perform an AIDC control to determine image forming conditions to keep image density stable while taking into account the fact that environmental factors and wear on the photoconductor can change the necessary density settings (col.1, ln.14-28). It would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide these units within the frame of the process cartridge of the primary references since the detection results must be reading conditions in the immediate vicinity of the photosensitive drum for the control to function.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) in view of Miyabe et al. (US 5,950,047).

Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach all of the limitations of claim 1 upon which claim 13 depends.

However, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) fail to teach the locations of the electrical contacts for the cartridge.

Art Unit: 2852

Regarding claim 13, Miyabe et al. (US 5,950,047) teach a process cartridge wherein electrical wirings for external connection are connectable via one location of the process cartridge (col.2, ln.39-46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the cartridges of either of the primary references with the electrical connection of Miyabe et al. (US 5,950,047) in order to provide a process cartridge with shorter wiring length and to avoid electrical interference between multiple contact locations (col.2, ln.5-12).

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) in view of Ojima et al. (US Pub. 2004/0191663).

Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach all of the limitations of claim 1 upon which claims 14 and 15 depend.

However, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) fail to teach the use of a toner with the specific properties listed in claims 14 and 15.

Regarding claim 14, Ojima et al. (US Pub. 2004/0191663) teach an image forming apparatus using a toner having an average circularity in a range of 0.93 to 1.00 (para.0015: ranges from 0.94 to 0.98).

Regarding claim 15, Ojima et al. (US Pub. 2004/0191663) teach an image forming apparatus wherein said developing unit is configured to use a toner that has an average circularity in a range of 0.93 to 1.00 (para.0015: ranges from 0.94 to 0.98) and

Art Unit: 2852

a ratio of volume average particle size and a number average particle size in a range of 1.05 to 1.40 (para.0014: range of 1.10 to 1.15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify either of the primary references to incorporate the toner of Ojima et al. (US Pub. 2004/0191663) in order to effectively prevent the generation of image irregularities during an endurance printing process (para.0014 & 0015).

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) in view of Ojima et al. (US Pub. 2004/0191663) and in view of Yamashiro et al. (US 5,328,795).

The limitations of claim 14 are met by the combination as recited above.

However, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) fail to teach the use of a toner with the specific properties listed in claim 16.

Regarding claim 16, Ojima et al. (US Pub. 2004/0191663) teach an image forming apparatus wherein said developing unit is configured to use a toner that has an average circularity in a range of 0.93 to 1.00 (para.0015: ranges from 0.94 to 0.98).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify either of the primary references to incorporate the toner of Ojima et al. (US Pub. 2004/0191663) in order to effectively prevent the generation of image irregularities during an endurance printing process (para.0014 & 0015).

Regarding claim 16, Yamashiro et al. (US 5,328,795) teach a toner for use in electrophotography wherein the toner is made up of roughly spherical particles with a

Application/Control Number: 10/532,979 Page 19

Art Unit: 2852

ratio r2/r1 of a minor axis r2 and a major axis r1 in a range of 0.5 to 1.0, a ratio r3/r2 of a thickness r3 and the minor axis r2 in a range of 0.7 to 1.0, and satisfying a relationship $r1 \ge r2 \ge r3$. In Yamashiro et al. (US 5,328,795), col.4, ln.31-36, the major axis (r1) can be 3-30 μ m, the minor axis (r2) can be 1-25 μ m, and the flatness is less than 0.5. The flatness is defined as: [2 x (thickness)] / [major axis + minor axis] (col.4, ln.34-36). If the equation:

$$0.5 = \underbrace{(2 \text{ x thickness})}_{\text{(major axis + minor axis)}}$$

is manipulated with both the major+minor values of 3+1 and 30+25, the thickness (r3) works out to be in a range from 1 to 13.75. Taking the lowest and highest set of all the ranges, $r2/r1 - \sim 0.33$ to ~ 0.83 and $r3/r2 - \sim 0.55$ to ~ 1 . Also, the values of r1, r2, and r3, satisfy the inequality $r1 \ge r2 \ge r3$.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the toner of the combination that satisfies claim 14, by forming it to have the shape and major/minor axis and thickness ratios as seen in Yamashiro et al. (US 5,328,795) in order to improve "blade cleanability," prevent the toner particles from entering under the blade when the blade scrapes, and prevent toner particles from remaining on the drum after cleaning (col.2, ln.15-32; col.3, ln.25-28).

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) in view of Ojima et al. (US Pub. 2004/0191663) and in view of Inoue et al. (JP Pub. 2000-172015).

Art Unit: 2852

The limitations of claim 14 are met by the combination as recited above.

However, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) fail to teach the use of a toner with the specific properties and made by a process as listed in claim 17.

Regarding claim 17, Ojima et al. (US Pub. 2004/0191663) teach an image forming apparatus wherein said developing unit is configured to use a toner that has an average circularity in a range of 0.93 to 1.00 (para.0015: ranges from 0.94 to 0.98).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify either of the primary references to incorporate the toner of Ojima et al. (US Pub. 2004/0191663) in order to effectively prevent the generation of image irregularities during an endurance printing process (para.0014 & 0015).

Regarding claim 17, Inoue et al. (JP Pub. 2000-172015) teach a toner consisting of a polyester having functional groups including nitrogen atoms, a polyester, a colorant and a releasing agent (abstract, SOLUTION).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a toner of this composition in conjunction with the process cartridge of the above recited combination to provide a toner that has a long service life, and excellent color mixability, glossiness and anti-offsetting property (abstract, PROBLEM TO BE SOLVED).

Additionally, claim 17 recites a product made by the method of a cross-linking reaction and/or an extension reaction within an aqueous medium, the toner of Inoue et al. (JP Pub. 2000-172015) could be made by such a process and the limitations of claim 17 are therefore met by Inoue et al. (JP Pub. 2000-172015), see In re Thorpe.

Art Unit: 2852

"[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (citations omitted) (Claim was

Claims 19, 20, and 22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) in view of Keen (US 4,816,877).

Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach all of the limitations of claim 1 and 23 upon which claims 19, 20, and 22 depend.

However, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) fail to teach refilling or resupplying toner to the cartridge.

Regarding claim 19, Keen (US 4,816,877) teaches a process cartridge which is reusable by receiving a supply of toner (col.1, ln.35-43).

Regarding claims 20, and 22, Keen (US 4,816,877) teaches a process cartridge further comprising: an accommodating part accommodating a supplied toner (col.1, ln.35-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify any of the primary references with a refilling hole and the ability for the user to refill toner into an accommodating portion, as in Keen (US 4,816,877), in order to reduce cost to the user by preventing the necessity of replacing the entire cartridge, or paying a recycling center to refill it when only the toner is consumed (col.1, ln.23-27).

Art Unit: 2852

Claims 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) in view of Kosuge (US Pub. 2003/004201).

Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach all of the limitations of claims 33 and 37 upon which claims 39 and 40 depend and further teach the cleaning unit comprising a cleaning blade as in claim 40 (fig.2, scraping device that is part of #5 contacting #1).

However, the reference fails to teach the use of a coating mechanism.

Regarding claim 39, Kosuge (US Pub. 2003/004201) teach a cleaning unit (fig.1, #8-10) wherein said cleaning unit comprises a coating mechanism including a coating roller (fig.1, #8) and a lubricant body (fig.1, #10), said coating mechanism coating a lubricant on the latent image bearing member (para.0010, ln.8-10).

Regarding claim 40, Kosuge (US Pub. 2003/004201) teach a cleaning unit wherein said cleaning unit comprises a cleaning blade (fig.1, #9), and said lubricant body is replaceable (para.0008, ln.3-5: if applying lubricant onto a photoreceptor, replacement is required, therefore the lubricant of this system is also replaceable).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the cleaning device of Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) with the lubricant coating device of Kosuge (US Pub. 2003/004201) to prevent toner from adhereing to the surface of the photosensitive drum by applying lubricant to decrease the surface energy of the photosensitive drum (para.0007, In.1-5).

Claims 49, 50 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) in view of Keen (US 4,816,877).

Art Unit: 2852

Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) teach all of the limitations of claim 1 upon which claims 49, 50, and 52 depend.

However, Asano et al. (US 5,289,234) fail to teach refilling or resupplying toner to the cartridge.

Regarding claim 49, Keen (US 4,816,877) teaches a process cartridge which is reusable by receiving a supply of toner (col.1, ln.35-43).

Regarding claims 50, and 52, Keen (US 4,816,877) teaches a process cartridge further comprising: an accommodating part accommodating a supplied toner (col.1, ln.35-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify any of the primary references with a refilling hole and the ability for the user to refill toner into an accommodating portion, as in Keen (US 4,816,877), in order to reduce cost to the user by preventing the necessity of replacing the entire cartridge, or paying a recycling center to refill it when only the toner is consumed (col.1, ln.23-27).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 and 5 are allowed.

Claims 24 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2852

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

- Prior art does not disclose or suggest the claimed "said cleaning unit being replaceable via the space formed by the first and second frame bodies" in combination with the remaining claim elements as set forth in claims 4 and
 5.
- Prior art does not disclose or suggest the claimed "said developing
 position determining member comprises a positioning member positioning
 the latent image bearing member and the developing agent bearing
 member, and an angular positioning member determining the main pole
 direction of the magnet group with respect to the latent image bearing
 member" in combination with the remaining claim elements as set forth in
 claim 24.
- Prior art does not disclose or suggest the claimed "a recovery roller
 recovering toner adhered on the bias roller and the cleaning blade... and
 said cleaning blade, said bias roller and said recovery roller are
 independently replaceable" in combination with the remaining claim
 elements as set forth in claim 27.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application Control Name

Art Unit: 2852

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11 July 2007, with regards to the rejection of claims 14-17 under 35 USC 112, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. While the claims define specific qualities of the toner that the developing unit is configured to use, they fail to define any structural features of the developing unit or the process cartridge to which it belongs.

Regarding all other claims, Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The new limitations of claims 1 and 33 do not overcome the prior art of record for at least the reasons stated above in the new citations and the fact that the developing unit can still be replaced into the body of the image forming apparatus through a space (door or etc.) which is different from the one formed by moving the two frames relative to one another.

Art Unit: 2852

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura K. Roth whose telephone number is (571)272-2154. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David M. Gray can be reached on (571)272-2119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/LKR/ 9/24/2007

DAVID M. GRAY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER